

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/712,850		DURDEN, DONALD L.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	MISOOK YU		1642	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) MISOOK YU.

(2) DR. Kathleen Rigaut.

**Date of Interview:** 14 October 2008

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☒ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: *Rosenzweig et al., Clin Can Res, 1997 Jul;3(7):1149-56, the entire article, and abstracts of Sarkaria JN et al, and Yoshio et al., all three have been faxed to Dr. Rigaut's office either on 10/03/2008 or on 10/14/2008.*

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*art rejection of record in light of the three faxed references above*

Claims discussed:  
122

Prior art documents discussed:  
*Rosenzweig et al., Clin Can Res, 1997 Jul;3(7):1149-56, and abstract of Sarkaria JN et al, and Yoshio et al*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*Amendment to new claim 122 discussed.*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)